

STEINER t -DESIGNS FOR LARGE t

MICHAEL HUBER

ABSTRACT. One of the most central and long-standing open questions in combinatorial design theory concerns the existence of Steiner t -designs for large values of t . Although in his classical 1987 paper, L. Teirlinck has shown that non-trivial t -designs exist for all values of t , no non-trivial Steiner t -design with $t > 5$ has been constructed until now. Understandingly, the case $t = 6$ has received considerable attention. There has been recent progress concerning the existence of highly symmetric Steiner 6-designs: It is shown in [M. Huber, *J. Algebr. Comb.* **26** (2007), pp. 453–476] that no non-trivial flag-transitive Steiner 6-design can exist. In this paper, we announce that essentially also no block-transitive Steiner 6-design can exist.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most central and long-standing open questions in combinatorial design theory concerns the existence of Steiner t -designs for large values of t . Although in his classical 1987 paper, L. Teirlinck [45] has shown that non-trivial t -designs exist for all values of t , no non-trivial Steiner t -design with $t > 5$ has been constructed until now. Understandingly, the case $t = 6$ has received considerable attention. There has been recent progress concerning the existence of highly symmetric Steiner 6-designs: The author [25] showed that no non-trivial flag-transitive Steiner 6-design can exist. Moreover, he classified all flag-transitive Steiner t -designs with $t > 2$ (see [22, 23, 24, 25, 26] and [21] for a monograph). These results answer a series of 40-year-old problems and generalize theorems of J. Tits [46] and H. Lüneburg [38]. Earlier, F. Buekenhout, A. Delandtsheer, J. Doyen, P. Kleidman, M. Liebeck, and J. Saxl [6, 14, 34, 36, 42] had essentially characterized all flag-transitive Steiner 2-designs. All these classification results rely on the classification of the finite simple groups.

In this paper, we announce that essentially no block-transitive Steiner 6-design can exist. This confirms a far-reaching conjecture of P. Cameron and C. Praeger [10], stating that there are no non-trivial block-transitive 6-designs, for the important case of Steiner designs. Consequently, a further

Received by the editors July 11, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 51E10; Secondary 05B05, 20B25.

Key words and phrases. Steiner designs, existence problem, block-transitive group of automorphisms, multiply transitive permutation groups.

significant step towards an answer to the fundamental open question “*Does there exist any non-trivial Steiner 6-design?*” is provided – at least in the case of highly symmetric designs, quoting arguably Gian-Carlo Rota [41]:

“A combinatorial object without symmetries doesn’t exist - by definition.”

2. COMBINATORIAL DESIGNS

The study of combinatorial designs deals with a crucial problem of combinatorial theory, that of arranging objects into patterns according to specified rules. This is a subject of considerable interest in discrete mathematics and computer science, amongst others. In particular, there are close connections of design theory with graph theory [11, 47], finite and incidence geometry [5, 15], group theory [9, 12, 16, 49], coding theory [11, 27, 28, 29], cryptography [39, 44], as well as classification algorithms [33].

Combinatorial designs may be regarded as generalizations of finite projective planes. More formally: For positive integers $t \leq k \leq v$ and λ , we define a t -(v, k, λ) *design* to be a finite incidence structure $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{B}, I)$, where X denotes a set of *points*, $|X| = v$, and $\mathcal{B}$ a set of *blocks*, $|\mathcal{B}| = b$, with the following regularity properties: each block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is incident with k points, and each t -subset of X is incident with λ blocks. A *flag* of $\mathcal{D}$ is an incident point-block pair $(x, B) \in I$ with $x \in X$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

For historical reasons, a t -(v, k, λ) design with $\lambda = 1$ is called a *Steiner t -design* (sometimes also a *Steiner system*). We note that in this case each block is determined by the set of points which are incident with it, and thus can be identified with a k -subset of X in a unique way. If $t < k < v$, then we speak of a *non-trivial Steiner t -design*. As a simple example, the vector space $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ ($n \geq 3$) with block set $\mathcal{B}$ taken to be the set of all subsets of four distinct elements of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ whose vector sum is zero is a (boolean) Steiner 3-($2^n, 4, 1$) design. There are many infinite classes of Steiner t -designs for $t = 2$ and 3, however for $t = 4$ and 5 only a finite number are known. For a detailed treatment of combinatorial designs, we refer to [1, 13, 19, 30, 43]. In particular, [1, 13] provide encyclopedic accounts of key results and contain existence tables with known parameter sets.

In what follows, we are interested in t -designs which admit groups of automorphisms with sufficiently strong symmetry properties such as transitivity on the blocks or on the flags. We consider automorphisms of a t -design $\mathcal{D}$ as pairs of permutations on X and $\mathcal{B}$ which preserve incidence, and call a group $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ of automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}$ *block-transitive* (respectively *flag-transitive*, *point t -transitive*, *point t -homogeneous*) if G acts transitively on the blocks (respectively transitively on the flags, t -transitively on the points, t -homogeneously on the points) of $\mathcal{D}$. For short, $\mathcal{D}$ is said to be, e.g., block-transitive if $\mathcal{D}$ admits a block-transitive group of automorphisms.

3. BASIC PROPERTIES AND EXISTENCE RESULTS

We give some basic properties and known results concerning the existence of t -designs which are important for the remainder of the paper.

If $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{B}, I)$ is a t -(v, k, λ) design with $t \geq 2$, and $x \in X$ arbitrary, then the *derived* design with respect to x is $\mathcal{D}_x = (X_x, \mathcal{B}_x, I_x)$, where $X_x = X \setminus \{x\}$, $\mathcal{B}_x = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : (x, B) \in I\}$ and $I_x = I|_{X_x \times \mathcal{B}_x}$. In this case, $\mathcal{D}$ is also called an *extension* of $\mathcal{D}_x$. Obviously, $\mathcal{D}_x$ is a $(t-1)$ -($v-1, k-1, \lambda$) design.

For $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{B}, I)$ a Steiner t -design with $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$, let G_x denote the stabilizer of a point $x \in X$, and G_B the setwise stabilizer of a block $B \in \mathcal{B}$. For $x, y \in X$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, we define $G_{xy} = G_x \cap G_y$ and $G_{xB} = G_x \cap G_B$.

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denote the greatest positive integer which is at most x .

All other notations remain as defined in Section 2.

Basic necessary conditions for the existence of t -designs can be obtained via elementary counting arguments (see, for instance, [1]):

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{B}, I)$ be a t -(v, k, λ) design, and for a positive integer $s \leq t$, let $S \subseteq X$ with $|S| = s$. Then the total number of blocks incident with each element of S is given by*

$$\lambda_s = \lambda \frac{\binom{v-s}{t-s}}{\binom{k-s}{t-s}}.$$

In particular, for $t \geq 2$, a t -(v, k, λ) design is also an s -(v, k, λ_s) design.

It is customary to set $r := \lambda_1$ denoting the total number of blocks incident with a given point (referring to the ‘replication number’ from statistical design of experiments, one of the origins of design theory).

Corollary 2. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{B}, I)$ be a t -(v, k, λ) design. Then the following holds:*

- (a) $bk = vr$.
- (b) $\binom{v}{t} \lambda = b \binom{k}{t}$.
- (c) $r(k-1) = \lambda_2(v-1)$ for $t \geq 2$.

Corollary 3. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{B}, I)$ be a t -(v, k, λ) design. Then*

$$\lambda \binom{v-s}{t-s} \equiv 0 \pmod{\binom{k-s}{t-s}}$$

for each positive integer $s \leq t$.

For non-trivial Steiner t -designs lower bounds for v in terms of k and t can be given (see P. Cameron [7, Thm. 3A.4], and J. Tits [46, Prop. 2.2]):

Theorem 4. *If $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{B}, I)$ is a non-trivial Steiner t -design, then the following holds:*

- (a) (Tits 1964): $v \geq (t+1)(k-t+1)$.
- (b) (Cameron 1976): $v-t+1 \geq (k-t+2)(k-t+1)$ for $t > 2$. If equality holds, then $(t, k, v) = (3, 4, 8), (3, 6, 22), (3, 12, 112), (4, 7, 23)$, or $(5, 8, 24)$.

We note that (a) is stronger for $k < 2(t-1)$, while (b) is stronger for $k > 2(t-1)$. For $k = 2(t-1)$ both assert that $v \geq t^2 - 1$.

The following result by R. Fisher [17] is classical, generally known as “Fisher’s Inequality”:

Theorem 5. (Fisher 1940). *If $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{B}, I)$ is a non-trivial $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ design, then $b \geq v$, that is, there are at least as many blocks as points in $\mathcal{D}$.*

An important generalization to arbitrary t -designs is due to D. Ray-Chaudhuri and R. Wilson [40, Thm. 1]:

Theorem 6. (Ray-Chaudhuri & Wilson 1975). *Let $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{B}, I)$ be a $t-(v, k, \lambda)$ design. If t is even, say $t = 2s$, and $v \geq k + s$, then $b \geq \binom{v}{s}$. If t is odd, say $t = 2s + 1$, and $v - 1 \geq k + s$, then $b \geq 2\binom{v-1}{s}$.*

Exploration of the construction of t -designs for large values of t lead to L. Teirlinck’s celebrated theorem [45], one of the major results in design theory:

Theorem 7. (Teirlinck 1987). *For every positive integer value of t , there exists a non-trivial t -design.*

However, although Teirlinck’s recursive methods are constructive, they only produce examples with tremendously large values of λ . Until now no non-trivial Steiner t -design with $t > 5$ has been constructed.

Problem. *Does there exist any non-trivial Steiner 6-design?*

4. APPROACH VIA SYMMETRY

Besides recursive and set-theoretical approaches, many existence results for t -designs with large t have been obtained in recent years by the method of orbiting under a group (see, e.g., [2], [13, §II.4]). Specifically, the consideration of t -designs which admit groups of automorphisms with sufficiently strong symmetry properties seems to be of great importance in our context - quoting arguably Gian-Carlo Rota [41]:

“A combinatorial object without symmetries doesn’t exist - by definition.”

We first state (cf. [48]):

Proposition 8. *Let t be a positive integer, and G a finite (abstract) group. Then there is a t -design such that the full group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ of automorphisms has a subgroup isomorphic to G .*

One of the early important results regarding highly symmetric designs is due to R. Block [3, Thm. 2]:

Proposition 9. (Block 1965). *Let $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{B}, I)$ be a non-trivial t -(v, k, λ) design with $t \geq 2$. If $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts block-transitively on $\mathcal{D}$, then G acts point-transitively on $\mathcal{D}$.*

For a 2 -($v, k, 1$) design $\mathcal{D}$, it is elementary that the point 2-transitivity of $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ implies its flag-transitivity. For 2 -(v, k, λ) designs, this implication remains true if r and λ are relatively prime (see, e.g., [15, Chap. 2.3, Lemma 8]). However, for t -(v, k, λ) designs with $t \geq 3$, it can be deduced from Proposition 9 that always the converse holds (see [4] or [22, Lemma 2]):

Proposition 10. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{B}, I)$ be a non-trivial t -(v, k, λ) design with $t \geq 3$. If $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts flag-transitively on $\mathcal{D}$, then G acts point 2-transitively on $\mathcal{D}$.*

Investigating highly symmetric t -designs for large values of t , P. Cameron and C. Praeger [10, Thm. 2.1] deduced from Theorem 6 and Proposition 9 the following assertion:

Proposition 11. (Cameron & Praeger 1993). *Let $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{B}, I)$ be a t -(v, k, λ) design with $t \geq 2$. Then, the following holds:*

- (a) *If $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts block-transitively on $\mathcal{D}$, then G also acts point $\lfloor t/2 \rfloor$ -homogeneously on $\mathcal{D}$.*
- (b) *If $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts flag-transitively on $\mathcal{D}$, then G also acts point $\lfloor (t+1)/2 \rfloor$ -homogeneously on $\mathcal{D}$.*

As for $t \geq 7$ the flag-transitivity, respectively for $t \geq 8$ the block-transitivity of $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ implies at least its point 4-homogeneity, they obtained the following restrictions as a fairly direct consequence of the finite simple group classification (cf. [10, Thm. 1.1]):

Theorem 12. (Cameron & Praeger 1993). *Let $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{B}, I)$ be a t -(v, k, λ) design. If $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts block-transitively on $\mathcal{D}$ then $t \leq 7$, while if $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts flag-transitively on $\mathcal{D}$ then $t \leq 6$.*

Moreover, they formulated the following far-reaching conjecture (cf. [10, Conj. 1.2]):

Conjecture 1. (Cameron & Praeger 1993). *There are no non-trivial block-transitive 6-designs.*

It has been shown recently by the author [25] that no non-trivial flag-transitive Steiner 6-design can exist. Moreover, he classified all flag-transitive Steiner t -designs with $t > 2$ (see [22, 23, 24, 25, 26] and [21] for a monograph). These results make use of the classification of all finite 2-transitive permutation groups, which itself relies on the finite simple group classification. The characterizations answer a series of 40-year-old problems and generalize theorems of J. Tits [46] and H. Lüneburg [38]. Earlier,

F. Buekenhout, A. Delandtsheer, J. Doyen, P. Kleidman, M. Liebeck, and J. Saxl [6, 14, 34, 36, 42] had essentially characterized all finite flag-transitive linear spaces, that is flag-transitive Steiner 2-designs. Their result, which also relies on the finite simple group classification, starts with a classical result of Higman and McLaughlin [20] and uses the O’Nan-Scott Theorem for finite primitive permutation groups. For the incomplete case with a 1-dimensional affine group of automorphisms, we refer to [6, Sect. 4] and [32, Sect. 3].

5. NON-EXISTENCE OF BLOCK-TRANSITIVE STEINER 6-DESIGNS

We assert the following main result:

Main Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (X, \mathcal{B}, I)$ be a non-trivial Steiner 6-design. Then $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ cannot act block-transitively on $\mathcal{D}$, except possibly when $G = P\Gamma L(2, p^e)$ with $p = 2$ or 3 and e is an odd prime power.*

We will briefly outline the main ingredients of the proof. The long and technical details will appear elsewhere:

- In order to investigate block-transitive Steiner 6-designs, we can in view of Proposition 11 (a) make use of the classification of all finite 3-homogeneous permutation groups, which itself relies on the finite simple group classification (cf. [8, 18, 31, 35, 37]). The list of groups which have to be examined is as follows:

Let G be a finite 3-homogeneous permutation group on a set X with $|X| \geq 4$. Then G is either of

(A) Affine Type: G contains a regular normal subgroup T which is elementary Abelian of order $v = 2^d$. If we identify G with a group of affine transformations

$$x \mapsto x^g + u$$

of $V = V(d, 2)$, where $g \in G_0$ and $u \in V$, then particularly one of the following occurs:

- (1) $G \cong AGL(1, 8)$, $AFL(1, 8)$, or $AFL(1, 32)$
- (2) $G_0 \cong SL(d, 2)$, $d \geq 2$
- (3) $G_0 \cong A_7$, $v = 2^4$

or

(B) Almost Simple Type: G contains a simple normal subgroup N , and $N \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(N)$. In particular, one of the following holds, where N and $v = |X|$ are given as follows:

- (1) A_v , $v \geq 5$
- (2) $PSL(2, q)$, $q > 3$, $v = q + 1$
- (3) M_v , $v = 11, 12, 22, 23, 24$ (Mathieu groups)
- (4) M_{11} , $v = 12$

We note that if q is odd, then $PSL(2, q)$ is 3-homogeneous for $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, but not for $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and hence not every group G of almost simple type satisfying (2) is 3-homogeneous on X .

- If $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts block-transitively on any Steiner t -design $\mathcal{D}$ with $t \geq 6$, then in particular G acts point 2-transitively on $\mathcal{D}$ by Proposition 11 (a). Applying Lemma 2 (b) yields the equation

$$b = \frac{\binom{v}{t}}{\binom{k}{t}} = \frac{v(v-1)|G_{xy}|}{|G_B|},$$

where x and y are two distinct points in X and B is a block in $\mathcal{B}$. Combined with the combinatorial properties in Section 3, this arithmetical condition yields in some of the cases under consideration immediately strong results. In other cases, particular Diophantine equations arise which have to be examined in more detail.

- As for the flag-transitive treatment (cf. [25, 26]), the projective group containing $PSL(2, q)$ – although group-theoretically well understood – requires some complicated analysis in this context. This includes a detailed consideration of the orbit-lengths from the action of subgroups of $PSL(2, q)$ on the points of the projective line. The cases excluded from the theorem remain elusive. However, it seems to be very unlikely that admissible parameter sets of Steiner 6-designs can be found in view of the arithmetical conditions that are imposed in these cases.

REFERENCES

1. Th. Beth, D. Jungnickel, and H. Lenz, *Design Theory*, Encyclopedia of Math. and Its Applications **69/78**, vol. I and II, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
2. A. Betten, *Genealogy of t -designs*, Australas. J. Comb. **29** (2004), 3–34.
3. R. E. Block, *Transitive groups of collineations on certain designs*, Pacific J. Math. **15** (1965), 13–18.
4. F. Buekenhout, *Remarques sur l'homogénéité des espaces linéaires et des systèmes de blocs*, Math. Z. **104** (1968), 144–146.
5. F. Buekenhout (ed.), *Handbook of Incidence Geometry*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1995.
6. F. Buekenhout, A. Delandtsheer, J. Doyen, P. B. Kleidman, M. W. Liebeck, and J. Saxl, *Linear spaces with flag-transitive automorphism groups*, Geom. Dedicata **36** (1990), 89–94.
7. P. J. Cameron, *Parallelisms of Complete Designs*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **23**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1976.
8. ———, *Finite permutation groups and finite simple groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **13** (1981), 1–22.
9. ———, *Permutation Groups*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
10. P. J. Cameron and C. E. Praeger, *Block-transitive t -designs, II: large t* , in: Finite Geometry and Combinatorics (Deinze 1992), ed. by F. De Clerck et al., London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **191**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993, 103–119.
11. P. J. Cameron and J. H. van Lint, *Designs, Graphs, Codes and their Links*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991.
12. R. D. Carmichael, *Introduction to the Theory of Groups of Finite Order*, Ginn, Boston, 1937; Reprint: Dover Publications, New York, 1956.

13. C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz (eds.), *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, 2nd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2006.
14. A. Delandtsheer, *Finite flag-transitive linear spaces with alternating socle*, in: Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications, Proc. Euroconf. (Gößweinstein 1999), ed. by A. Betten et al., Springer, Berlin, 2001, 79–88.
15. P. Dembowski, *Finite Geometries*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1968; Reprint 1997.
16. J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation Groups*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1996.
17. R. A. Fisher, *An examination of the different possible solutions of a problem in incomplete blocks*, Ann. Eugenics **10** (1940), 52–75.
18. D. Gorenstein, *Finite Simple Groups. An Introduction to Their Classification*, Plenum Publishing Corp., New York, London, 1982.
19. M. Hall, Jr., *Combinatorial Theory*, 2nd ed., J. Wiley, New York, 1986.
20. D. G. Higman and J. E. McLaughlin, *Geometric ABA-groups*, Illinois J. Math. **5** (1961), 382–397.
21. M. Huber, *Flag-transitive Steiner Designs*, Preprint, 128 pages.
22. ———, *Classification of flag-transitive Steiner quadruple systems*, J. Combin. Theory, Series A **94** (2001), 180–190.
23. ———, *The classification of flag-transitive Steiner 3-designs*, Adv. Geom. **5** (2005), 195–221.
24. ———, *On Highly Symmetric Combinatorial Designs*, Habilitationsschrift, Univ. Tübingen (2005), Shaker Verlag, Aachen, 2006.
25. ———, *A census of highly symmetric combinatorial designs*, J. Algebr. Comb. **26** (2007), 453–476.
26. ———, *The classification of flag-transitive Steiner 4-designs*, J. Algebr. Comb. **26** (2007), 183–207.
27. ———, *Coding theory and algebraic combinatorics*, in: Selected Topics in Information and Coding Theory, ed. by I. Woungang et al., World Scientific, Singapore, 33 pages (to appear).
28. W. C. Huffman and V. Pless (eds.), *Handbook of Coding Theory*, vol. I and II, North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1998.
29. ———, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003.
30. D. R. Hughes and F. C. Piper, *Design Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1985.
31. W. M. Kantor, *k-homogeneous groups*, Math. Z. **124** (1972), 261–265.
32. ———, *2-transitive and flag-transitive designs*, in: Coding Theory, Design Theory, Group Theory, Proc. Marshall Hall Conf. (Burlington, VT, 1990), ed. by D. Jungnickel et al., J. Wiley, New York, 1993, 13–30.
33. P. Kaski and P. R. J. Östergård, *Classification Algorithms for Codes and Designs*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2006.
34. P. B. Kleidman, *The finite flag-transitive linear spaces with an exceptional automorphism group*, in: Finite Geometries and Combinatorial Designs (Lincoln, NE, 1987), ed. by E. S. Kramer and S. S. Magliveras, Contemp. Math. **111**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990, 117–136.
35. M. W. Liebeck, *The affine permutation groups of rank three*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **54** (1987), 477–516.
36. ———, *The classification of finite linear spaces with flag-transitive automorphism groups of affine type*, J. Combin. Theory, Series A **84** (1998), 196–235.
37. D. Livingstone and A. Wagner, *Transitivity of finite permutation groups on unordered sets*, Math. Z. **90** (1965), 393–403.
38. H. Lüneburg, *Fahnenhomogene Quadrupelsysteme*, Math. Z. **89** (1965), 82–90.

39. D. Pei, *Authentication Codes and Combinatorial Designs*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2006.
40. D. K. Ray-Chaudhuri and R. M. Wilson, *On t -designs*, Osaka J. Math. **12** (1975), 737–744.
41. G.-C. Rota, *On the foundations of combinatorial theory I: theory of Möbius functions*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung u. verw. Geb. **2** (1964), 340–368.
42. J. Saxl, *On finite linear spaces with almost simple flag-transitive automorphism groups*, J. Combin. Theory, Series A **100** (2002), 322–348.
43. D. R. Stinson, *Combinatorial Designs: Constructions and Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2004.
44. ———, *Cryptography*, 3rd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2005.
45. L. Teirlinck, *Non-trivial t -designs without repeated blocks exist for all t* , Discrete Math. **65** (1987), 301–311.
46. J. Tits, *Sur les systèmes de Steiner associés aux trois “grands” groupes de Mathieu*, Rendic. Math. **23** (1964), 166–184.
47. V. D. Tonchev, *Combinatorial Configurations: Designs, Codes, Graphs*, Longman, Harlow, 1988.
48. N. D. Tuan, *Simple non-trivial designs with an arbitrary automorphism group*, J. Combin. Theory, Series A **100** (2002), 403–408.
49. H. Wielandt, *Finite Permutation Groups*, Academic Press, Boston, New York, 1964.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, MA6-2, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, STRASSE
DES 17. JUNI 136, D-10623 BERLIN, GERMANY
E-mail address: mhuber@math.tu-berlin.de